

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,891	05/22/2001	Erin M. Defosse	064814.0145	8850
7590 09/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas R. Felger			LUU, LE HIEN	
Baker Botts L.L.P. 2001 Ross Avenue			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 78501-2980			2141	
		DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/862,891	DEFOSSE, ERIN M.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Le H Luu	2141		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05/22</u>	2/01 - 08/25/04.			
·		action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 5/22/01 is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/01-8/25/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/862,891

Art Unit: 2141

1. Claims 1-27 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 2

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, 13-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Schneider et al. (Schneider) patent no. 6,304,895.

Art Unit: 2141

4. As to claim 1, Schneider teaches the invention as claimed, including an apparatus for the remote monitoring and control of a computing component, the apparatus comprising:

at least one processor (col. 3 line 56 - col. 4 line 16; col. 5 lines 48-59);

at least one memory operably coupled to the processor (col. 3 line 56 - col. 4 line 16; col. 5 lines 48-59);

a communications interface operably coupled with the processor and the memory; the communications interface operable to receive information from and transmit information to a first computing component (col. 4 line 63 - col. 5 line 10);

a transceiver operably coupled to the processor and the memory; the transceiver operable to transmit information to and receive information from a communications network (col. 4 line 63 - col. 5 line 10);

at least one program of instructions storable in the memory and executable by the processor; and the program of instructions operable to monitor at least one characteristic of the computing component and to control at least one function of the first computing component (col. 5 lines 20-27; col. 6 lines 14-25).

5. As to claims 3-4, Schneider teaches at least one LAN transceiver operably coupled to the processor; and the LAN transceiver operable to transmit information to and receive information from a network; the LAN transceiver operable to communicate with at one intelligent management and control transceiver (col. 4 line 63 - col. 5 line 10).

Application/Control Number: 09/862,891 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

6. As to claim 5, Schneider teaches a power supply operably coupled to at least the

processor and the memory (col. 12 lines 61-67).

7. As to claims 7-8 and 11, Schneider teaches the transceiver operable to

communicate computing component status to a remote device; a program of

instructions storable in the memory and executable by the processor operable to test at

least one computing component capability; the transceiver operable to transmit

information to and receive information from a wireless communications network (col. 4

line 63 - col. 5 line 27).

8. As to claim 9, Schneider teaches the processor, the memory, the

communications interface and the transceiver integrated onto a computing component

expansion card (col. 6 lines 9-14).

9. As to claim 10, Schneider teaches the communications interface operable to

receive information from and transmit information to at least one computing component

operably coupled to the first computing component (col. 5 lines 20-27).

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/862,891

Art Unit: 2141

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

- 11. Claims 2, 6, 12, 19, and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al. (Schneider) patent no. 6,304,895, in view of Fowler et al. (Fowler) patent no. 6,714,977.
- 12. As to claim 2, Schneider teaches the invention substantially as claimed as discussed above; However, Schneider does not explicitly teach using environmental sensor to measure environmental characteristic of a computing component.

Fowler teaches monitoring processor temperatures, and various environmental parameters of server (col. 6 lines 45-62, figure 17).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Schneider and Fowler to use environmental sensor to measure environmental characteristic of a computing component because it would report on out-of-limit conditions of computing components.

13. As to claim 6, Fowler teaches the program of instructions operable to effect monitoring and control instructions communicated from a web page (col. 4 lines 15-18; col. 7 lines 7-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/862,891

Art Unit: 2141

14. As to claim 12, Fowler teaches the program of instructions operable to generate

Page 6

an alert upon detection of a monitored event; and the transceiver operable to

communicate the alert to the communications network (col. 16 lines 57-64).

15. Claims 13-27 have similar limitations as claims 1-12; therefore, they are rejected

under the same rationale.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9650.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2141

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE").

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 23, 2004